

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

**DEC 12 2005**

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

VINCENT BELISARIO MONDRAGON,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-50304

D.C. No. CR-03-00954-DT

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Dickran M. Tevrizian, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 5, 2005<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Vincent Belisario Mondragon appeals the district court's judgment and his 24-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for being a felon

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). He also appeals the district court's order denying his motion to suppress evidence.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Mondragon's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED** and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.